Serial No.: 10/003,509 Attorney Docket No.: 100110598-1

REMARKS

In response to the Office Action dated March 7, 2005, claims 1, 7, 13, and 19 have been amended. Claims 1-20 are in the case. Reexamination and reconsideration of the application, as amended, are requested.

The Office Action rejected claims 1-50 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Kikinis (U.S. Patent No. 6,553,410).

The Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection based on the amendments to the claims and the arguments below.

Namely, the Applicants respectfully submit that Kikinis does not disclose all of the claimed features. Specifically, the Applicants' invention includes in part a <u>dynamic user interface specification</u> in the return file <u>with explanatory error messages regarding graphics in the file, uses extensible style language transformation (XSLT) to convert any multi-part Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (MIME) encoding of the dynamic user interface into extensible mark-up language (XML) encoding. In addition, the application uses the dynamic user interface specification to generate a graphical user interface for creating communication between the service that does not have a graphical user interface and the user by providing the return file and the explanatory error messages in the graphical user interface to the user system.</u>

In contrast, Kikinis simply discloses "...a session script between hand-held computer 13, Proxy-Server 19, and any WEB server 23...and...the NanoBrowser incorporated in computer unit 13, and the InterBrowser, incorporated in Proxy-Server 19..." (see col. 9, lines 65-67 through col. 10, lines 1-10 and FIGS. 3-4 of Kikinis). Although Kikinis discloses "...a proxy server uses prestored characteristics of client devices to translate data from Internet sources into a reduced-content form adapted specifically to the client device...", the functionality of the system "...includes templates provided for specifying WEB page to client translation, and special scripts for prefetching pages in real-time" (see Abstract of Hunt et al.), which is very different from the Applicants' claimed invention.

Specifically, Kikinis does <u>not</u> have a <u>dynamic user interface specification</u> with <u>explanatory error messages</u> regarding graphics in the file in combination with <u>using</u> extensible style language transformation (XSLT) to convert any multi-part Multipurpose

Scrial No.: 10/003,509 Attorney Docket No.: 100110598-1

Internet Mail Extensions (MIME) encoding of the dynamic user interface into extensible mark-up language (XML) encoding, like the Applicants' claimed invention. Further, Kikinis fails to disclose the Applicants' claimed application using the dynamic user interface specification to generate a graphical user interface for creating communication between the service that does not have a graphical user interface and the user. Hence, since the cited reference does not disclose all of the elements of the claimed invention, the reference cannot anticipate the claims. As such, the Applicants respectfully submit that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 should be withdrawn.

Thus, it is respectfully requested that all of the claims be allowed based on the amendments and arguments. Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw the outstanding rejection of the claims and to pass this application to issue. Additionally, in an effort to further the prosecution of the subject application, the Applicants kindly <u>request</u> the Examiner to telephone the Applicants' attorney at (818) 885-1575. Please note that all mail correspondence should continue to be directed to

Hewlett Packard Company Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400

Respectfully submitted, Dated: June 13, 2005

Edmond A. DeFrank Reg. No. 37,814 Attorney for Applicants (818) 885-1575 TEL

(818) 885-5750 FAX